

---

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

• 445 Broadway, Albany, NY. 12207-2936 •

---

United States Grand Jury<sup>1</sup> (*Status: sovereign<sup>2</sup>*)  
Tribunal, the People

- against -

United States Supreme Court, Federal Judiciary  
U.S. Senate, and U.S. House of Representatives  
(*Status: clipped sovereignty*)

Defendants

**JURISDICTION:** Court of Record<sup>3</sup>  
Law Case No. 1776-1789-1791-2019

Administrator Grand Jury Foreman  
Depository Case No. 1:16-CV-1490

- **WRIT MANDAMUS<sup>4</sup>**
- **ACTION AT LAW<sup>5</sup> DEMANDING  
A RETURN TO THE LAW<sup>6</sup>**
- **DECISION & ORDER**

Copied: President Trump, AG William Barr

5

**MEMORANDUM OF LAW NON-JUDICIAL FORECLOSURE**

10 The purpose of this memorandum is to reveal the fraud upon the People committed by mortgages companies and municipalities. Said fraud differs little between the two. The following conspiratorial process is essentially the same in that the home is securitized.

---

<sup>1</sup>The UUSCLGJ is comprised of fifty Grand Juries each unified amongst the counties within their respective States. All fifty States have unified nationally as an assembly of Thousands of People in the name of We the People to suppress, through our Courts of Justice, subverters both foreign and domestic acting under color of law within our governments. States were unified by re-constituting all 3,133 United States counties.

<sup>2</sup>“**Sovereignty**” means that the decree of sovereign makes law, and foreign courts cannot condemn influences persuading sovereign to make the decree.” Moscow Fire Ins. Co. of Moscow, Russia v. Bank of New York & Trust Co., 294 N.Y.S. 648, 662, 161 Misc. 903.; The people of this State, as the successors of its former sovereign, are entitled to all the rights which formerly belonged to the King by his prerogative. Lansing v. Smith, 4 Wend. 9 (N.Y.) (1829), 21 Am. Dec. 89 10C Const. Law Sec. 298; 18 C Em.Dom. Sec. 3, 228; 37 C Nav.Wat. Sec. 219; Nuls Sec. 167; 48 C Wharves Sec. 3, 7.

<sup>3</sup>“**A Court of Record** is a judicial tribunal having attributes and exercising functions independently of the person of the magistrate designated generally to hold it, and proceeding according to the course of common law, its acts and proceedings being enrolled for a perpetual memorial.” Jones v. Jones, 188 Mo.App. 220, 175 S.W. 227, 229; Ex parte Gladhill, 8 Metc. Mass., 171, per Shaw, C.J. See, also, Ledwith v. Rosalsky, 244 N.Y. 406, 155 N.E. 688, 689.

<sup>4</sup>The action of mandamus is one, brought in a court of competent jurisdiction, to obtain an order of such court **commanding an inferior tribunal to do without discretion**, which the law enjoins as a duty resulting from an office, trust, or station. Rev Code Iowa, 1880, §3373 (Code 1931, §12440).

<sup>5</sup>**AT LAW:** [Bouvier’s] This phrase is used to point out that a thing is to be done according to the course of the common law; it is distinguished from a proceeding in equity.

<sup>6</sup>**AT LAW:** Blacks 4th This phrase is used to point out that a thing is to be done according to the course of the common law; it is distinguished from a proceeding in equity.

## THE FRAUD

15 The Securitization of Mortgages and Tax Foreclosures has become a common and growing white collar swindle that is illegal primarily because of “Antitrust Law Violations”, consisting of specific violations such as usury, fraud, conspiracy, forgery and robo-signing. When victims are robbed because State and Federal Legislators pass unconstitutional legislation and State Constitutional Courts sanction non-judicial foreclosures by looking the other way, thereby giving the appearance of due process, this constitutes RICO and wars against the Constitution.

20 Securitization is the financial practice of pooling various types of contractual debt such as residential mortgages, commercial mortgages, auto loans or credit card debt obligations (or other non-debt assets which generate receivables); and, selling their related cash flows to third party investors as securities, which may be described as bonds, pass-through securities or collateralized debt obligations (CDOs). Investors are repaid from the principal and interest cash flows collected from the underlying debt  
25 which is redistributed through the capital structure of the new financing. Securities backed by mortgage receivables are called mortgage-backed securities (MBS), while those backed by other types of receivables are asset-backed securities (ABS). It was the private, competitive mortgage securitization that played an important role in the U.S. subprime mortgage crisis.  
30

The process is not as complicated as it might seem at first glance and might be difficult to recognize as a crime. But, it should become clear to the local village, town, city and county courts and the Sheriff once they realize the process these criminal alliances go through to use the Court and the Sheriff to assist in these illegal seizures of homes  
35 without their realizing that they became instruments of a robbery.

**CLARIFICATION:** Were these mortgage companies and municipalities able to legally foreclose on the property, they would do so by filing the foreclosure in the State Court to acquire a judgment; then bring it to the Sheriff for collection. The problem is that they cannot produce proof of claim and fiduciary authority over the property and  
40 without these two affidavits, they cannot open a lawful court case to provide “*due process*” necessary for a lawful seizure of the property “*in rem.*” So the BAR, banks, municipalities and mortgage cartels devised a plan to bypass “*due process*” by lobbying and convincing state legislators, who either consciously conspired, or, because constitutional principles are unbeknownst to them, ignorantly conspired to write

45 unconstitutional “*non-judicial foreclosure statutes*” that proceed “*in rem*”<sup>7</sup>, which is a process to seize properties without due process whereas the party seizing the property has a “sworn proof of claim” and sworn proof of fiduciary authority. Even this can be challenged and thereby must be heard in a court of law.

Such practice without these two affidavits moves the presumption of law from  
50 “*innocent until proven guilty*” to “*guilty with no opportunity to defend.*” This turns American Jurisprudence<sup>8</sup> on its head by removing any opportunity for the victims to be heard. This Provides absolute control to defraud without consequence by nefarious mortgage holders and municipalities which there seems to be no shortage of. As well as de facto courts which allow the non-judicial foreclosure filings without the signature of  
55 a judge which secures by oath that justice was served. The “Law of the Land” a/k/a “the Supremacy Clause of the Constitution”<sup>9</sup> requires judges’ obedience to “Due Process.”

*Amendment V – No person shall be held to answer for a capital, or otherwise infamous crime, unless on a presentment or deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; and Amendment IV - The*  
60 *right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated, and no Warrants shall issue, but upon probable cause, supported by Oath or affirmation, and particularly describing the place to be searched, and the persons or things to be seized.*

65 Congress can make no law that would provide for a statutory construction which would negate the unalienable rights of the People; which is what would be required in order to make a State a “Non-Judicial Foreclosure State.” Therefore, no State can establish “Non-Judicial Foreclosure Laws.” Such Congressional and/or State actions would negate the following unalienable rights protected by the Constitution and expected to be  
70 enforced by the Sheriff:

(1) The unalienable right protected by the 4<sup>th</sup> Amendment to be secure in their property,

---

<sup>7</sup> ACTION IN REM. In the civil and common law. An action for a thing; an action for the recovery of a thing possessed by another.

<sup>8</sup> JURISPRUDENCE: The philosophy of law, or the science which treats of the principles of positive law and legal relations; American Jurisprudence is the written law, constitution and principles every judge must obey.

<sup>9</sup> “This Constitution, and the laws of the United States which shall be made in pursuance thereof; and, all treaties made, or which shall be made, under the authority of the United States, shall be the Supreme Law of the Land; and, the judges in every State shall be bound thereby, anything in the Constitution or laws of any State to the contrary notwithstanding.” – Constitution for the United States of America Article VI

- (2) The unalienable right protected by the 5<sup>th</sup> Amendment to due process,
- (3) The unalienable right protected by the 7<sup>th</sup> Amendment to trial by jury, and
- (4) The unalienable right protected by the 7<sup>th</sup> Amendment to common law courts.

75

Rights are unalienable<sup>10</sup> and cannot be transferred.<sup>11</sup> Any contract that would pass or hand over an unalienable right is null and void. The “Burden of Proof” is on the foreclosing party. All parties to a Non-Judicial Foreclosure cannot prove their case; nor can they prove their right to sell someone’s property without progressing to a Final Judgment in a court of law. Any court that ignores these facts and/or proceeds with a Summary Judgment becomes complicit to the robbery. This violates the victim’s rights under Color of Law, thereby giving a reason to move the Case for Cause to an Article III Federal District Court for both criminal and civil remedy.

80

After establishing unconstitutional statutes, white-collar criminals, acting under Color of Law, devised the following “*ruse*” to manipulate our judicial system and our County Sheriffs so as to create an appearance of lawful acts while illegally seizing the property of their victims:

85

- (1) Give Notice of Default to the victim, “without judicial process”;
- (2) Give Notice of Substitution of Trustee, “without judicial process”;
- (3) Give Notice of Sale, “without judicial process”;
- (4) Commence public auction, “without judicial process”;
- (5) Use aforesaid documents to transfer title, “without judicial process”;
- (6) File fraudulent eviction proceedings acting as “*landlord*” (using the fraudulent title) and calling the owner of the property “*tenant*” who owes back rent in an unsuspecting village, town or city court, “giving the appearance of judicial process”; and
- (7) File the fraudulent judgement with the County Clerk to achieve a fraudulent Eviction Order for execution by the unsuspecting Sheriff.

90

95


We the People find it apparent that most of our Constitutional Officers are ignorant as to the Law of the Land as defined in the Constitution for the United States of America, Article VI. Therefore, they are often unable to determine constitutional violations which cause Sheriffs to fall prey to these subverts, in jeopardy of violating their oath and We the People in jeopardy of losing our property and Liberty to tyrants.

100

---

<sup>10</sup> UNALIENABLE: Inalienable; incapable of being alienated, that is, sold and transferred. Black’s 4<sup>th</sup>.  
<sup>11</sup> TRANSFER: To convey or remove from one place, person, etc., to another; pass or hand over from one to another; specifically to make over the possession or control of (as, to transfer a title to land); sell or give. Chappell v. State, 216 Ind. 666, 25 N.E. 2d 999, 1001.

The following chart details the RUSE:



105

**STATUTORY CRIMES:** Under US laws, Securitized Mortgages are illegal primarily because they are fraudulent and constitute specific violations, namely:

- 1) RICO
- 2) Usury
- 3) Fraud
- 4) Conspiracy
- 5) Forgery
- 6) Robo-signing and
- 7) Antitrust law violations

110

115 The “*foreclosure crisis*” is a complex, interconnected series of state-sponsored crimes involving the following steps:

- 1) The mortgage or tax burden is created.
- 2) The mortgage is sold to an investor.

- 120 3) The mortgage or tax burden payments are loaded onto an international PONZI  
scheme a/k/a “*mortgage securitization.*”
- 125 4) Compliant judges in state and county courts look the other way, or, provide  
Summary Proceedings while:
- a. Mortgage companies conceal the fact that the notes and assignments were never  
delivered to the MBS Trusts [Mortgage-Backed Securities Trusts] while the  
mortgage companies disseminate false and misleading statements to the investors  
and the United States Government.
  - b. Mortgage companies pursue foreclosure actions using false and fabricated  
documents, particularly mortgage assignments. The mortgage companies use Robo-  
signing on thousands of documents each week with no review or knowledge of the  
130 contents of the documents; thus, creating forged mortgage assignments with  
fraudulent titles in order to proceed with foreclosures.
  - c. Mortgage companies have used these fraudulent mortgage assignments to conceal  
over 1,400 MBS Trusts, each with mortgages valued over \$1 billion, which are  
missing critical documents; namely, mortgage assignments which are required to  
135 have been delivered to the Trusts at the inception of the Trust.
  - d. Without lawfully executed mortgage assignments, the value of the mortgages and  
notes held by the Trusts is impaired; effective assignments are necessary for the  
Trust to foreclose on its assets in the event of mortgage defaults; and the Trusts do  
not hold good title to the loans and mortgages that investors have been told are  
140 secured notes.
  - e. Mortgage assignments are prepared with forged signatures of individuals signing as  
grantors; and forged signatures of individuals signing as witnesses and Notaries.
  - f. Mortgage assignments are prepared with forged signatures of individuals signing as  
corporate officers for banks and mortgage companies that have never employed said  
145 individuals and corporate officers.
  - g. Mortgage assignments are prepared and signed by individuals as corporate officers  
of mortgage companies that have been dissolved by bankruptcy years prior to the  
assignment.
  - h. Mortgage assignments are prepared with purported effective dates unrelated to the  
150 date of any actual or attempted transfer; and, in the case of Trusts, with purported  
effective dates years after the closing date of the Trusts.
  - i. Mortgage assignments are prepared on behalf of grantors who had never themselves  
acquired ownership of the mortgages and notes by a valid transfer; and, such  
mortgage assignments include numerous ones where the grantor was identified as  
155 “*Bogus Assignee for Intervening Assignments*”.

- 160 j. Mortgage assignments are notarized by Notaries who never witness the signatures they notarize.
- 165 k. The MBS Trusts, and their trustees, depositors and servicing companies, further misrepresent to the public the assets of the Trusts; and, issue false statements in their Prospectuses and Certifications of Compliance.
- 170 l. Securitization violates usury laws in that the resulting effective interest rate typically exceeds legally-allowable rates set by State Usury Laws.
- 175 m. All “*True-Sale*”, “*Disguised-Loan*” and “*Assignment*” securitizations are essentially tax-evasion schemes. In the United States, the applicable tax-evasion statute is the United States Internal Revenue Code, Section 7201 which reads as follows: “*Any person [corporation] who willfully attempts in any manner to evade or defeat any tax imposed by this title, or the payment thereof, shall, in addition to other penalties provided by law, be guilty of a felony; and, upon conviction thereof, shall be fined not more than \$500,000; or, imprisoned not more than 5 years; or, both; together with the costs of prosecution.*”
- 175 n. Securitization undermines the United States Federal Bankruptcy Policy because it is used in lieu of secured financing as a means of avoiding certain Bankruptcy Law Restrictions. The origins of securitization in the United States can be traced directly to efforts by banks and financial institutions to avoid Bankruptcy Law Restrictions.
- 180 o. Securitization constitutes a violation of Federal RICO Section 1341: Mail Fraud, Section 1343: Wire Fraud, Section 1344: Financial Institution Fraud, Section 1957: Engaging in Monetary Transactions in Property Derived from Specified Unlawful Activity, and Section 1952: Racketeering.

180 **IN CONCLUSION:** The Securitization of Mortgages and Tax Foreclosures is a growing white collar swindle that is illegal primarily because of “Antitrust Law Violations”, consisting of specific violations such as usury, fraud, conspiracy, forgery and robo-signing and violates our 4<sup>th</sup> Amendment right to be secure in our property, 5<sup>th</sup> Amendment right to due process, 7<sup>th</sup> Amendment right to trial by jury, and our 7<sup>th</sup> Amendment right to natural law courts.

185 SEAL August 14, 2019

  
Grand Jury Foreman

190